



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/540,816

06/24/2005

Seiki Tamura

71,051-011

6348

27305

7590

10/09/2008

HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS, P.C.
THE PINEHURST OFFICE CENTER, SUITE #101
39400 WOODWARD AVENUE
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-5151

EXAMINER

MATTISON, LORI K

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

4161

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/09/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address : COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10540816	6/24/2005	TAMURA, SEIKI	71,051-011

HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS, P.C.
THE PINEHURST OFFICE CENTER, SUITE #101
39400 WOODWARD AVENUE
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-5151

EXAMINER

LORI MATTISON

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

4161	20081006
------	----------

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents**Notice of Non-Responsive Reply**

The reply filed on July 14, 2008 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): The species election is incomplete. See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be bona fide, applicant is given ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is long, within which to supply the omission or correctoin in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Election/Restrictions

Applicants election with traverse of Group II (claims 1, 2 and 3) and species elections in the reply filed on July 14, 2008 is acknowledged.

The original species election requirement, mailed on 5/14/2008 stated for "the species election of polymer to be considered responsive, the election must define the ultimate species of copolymer.." The presence or absence of optional moieties must be specified.

In the reply filed on July 14, 2008, applicant elected that $b_2 = 0$ such that the group C_3H_6O shall be treated as an otional compened....the examiner should treat the group C_3H_6O , not C_8H_6O ,as absent. The examiner notes that C_8H_6O is repeated "b2" number of times, hence the reply filed on July14, 2008 grants seperate values for b2. The examiner has looked to the instant specification for guidance and has found that b2 maintains the same value among the respective moieties (see page 30). Thus the species election does not define an "ultimate species" of polymer.

The examiner notes that a similar problem with integers results with "c." "An integar greater than zero" is not a specific species for moieties that are repeated "c" number of times.

Therefore, a compliant election of the species was not made.

/Ashwin Mehta/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1638